UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/574,897	04/06/2006	Augusto Amici	2503-1207	3387	
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 03/17/200 OMPSON	EXAMINER			
209 Madison St		BURKHART, MICHAEL D			
Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1633	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/17/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/574,897	AMICI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MICHAEL BURKHART	1633			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	- action is non-final.				
·=	, 				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 14-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 14-25 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 1633

DETAILED ACTION

Claim 26 provides for the use of "claim 25", but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 26 results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Hence, the claim has been withdrawn and not been further treated on the merits.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Groups I-XIV, claim(s) 1-22 (in part), drawn to DNA transfer vectors containing one of SEQ ID NOs 1-14. In order, Group I contains SEQ ID NO: 1, Group II contains SEQ ID NO: 2, etc.

Group XV, claim(s) claims 23 and 24, drawn to a pharmaceutical preparation containing at least two different vectors from Groups I-XIV. Should Group XV be elected, two SEQ ID NOs from claim 14 must be elected for reasons set forth below.

Group XVI, claim(s) 25, drawn to a method for treatment of a subject by administration of a DNA transfer vector from Groups I-XIV.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,897

Art Unit: 1633

Page 3

The inventions listed as Groups I-XVI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature linking Groups I-XVI are the different sequences found in SEQ ID NOs 1-14. These sequences are fragments from the rat and human p185^{neu} genes that encode the respective neu protein. These sequences were widely known in the prior art, as were DNA vectors comprising these sequences. See Coussens et al (Science, 1985) and Chen et al (Cancer Res., 1998, of record) for vectors comprising the human and rat sequences, respectively. The instant claims are worded with open language, i.e. the vectors "contain" the listed SEQ ID NOs, hence, a DNA vector comprising the entire p185^{neu} gene is considered to be anticipatory of the claimed fragments.

Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-XVI does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

The technical feature of Group I is considered to be DNA transfer vector containing SEQ ID NO: 1.

The technical feature of Group II is considered to be DNA transfer vector containing SEQ ID NO: 2.

The technical feature of Group III is considered to be DNA transfer vector containing SEQ ID NO: 3.

The technical feature of Group IV is considered to be DNA transfer vector containing SEQ ID NO: 4.

The technical feature of Group V is considered to be DNA transfer vector containing SEQ ID NO: 5.

The technical feature of Group VI is considered to be DNA transfer vector containing SEQ ID NO: 6.

The technical feature of Group VII is considered to be DNA transfer vector containing SEQ ID NO: 7.

The technical feature of Group VIII is considered to be DNA transfer vector containing SEQ ID NO: 8.

The technical feature of Group IX is considered to be DNA transfer vector containing SEQ ID NO: 9.

The technical feature of Group X is considered to be DNA transfer vector containing SEO ID NO: 10.

The technical feature of Group XI is considered to be DNA transfer vector containing SEQ ID NO: 11.

The technical feature of Group XII is considered to be DNA transfer vector containing SEQ ID NO: 12.

The technical feature of Group XIII is considered to be DNA transfer vector containing SEQ ID NO: 13.

The technical feature of Group XIV is considered to be DNA transfer vector containing SEQ ID NO: 14.

The technical feature of Group XV is considered to be a pharmaceutical preparation containing at least two different vectors from Groups I-XIV.

The technical feature of Group XVI is considered to be a method for treatment of a subject by administration of a DNA transfer vector from Groups I-XIV.

Accordingly, Groups I-XVI are not so linked by the same concept or a corresponding technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1633

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL BURKHART whose telephone number is (571)272-2915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,897

Art Unit: 1633

/Michael Burkhart/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633

Page 6